

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 STEVE MICHAEL COX,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 GLEN WHORTON, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.
13

3:08-CV-110-RCJ(VPC)

ORDER


14 Before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for the Record of Formal Objections' to Court's (6-4-10)
15 Order (#64) Denying Plaintiff's Request for Discovery (First); Production of Documents and Request
16 for Admissions (#65) filed on June 28, 2010. Defendants' Opposition to Motion for the Record of
17 Formal Objections' to Court's (6-9-10)'s Order Denying Plaintiff's Request for Discovery (First);
18 Production of Documents and Request for Admissions (#66) was filed on July 7,

19 The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's motion, and
20 other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636 (b)(1), and concludes that the Magistrate
21 Judge's ruling was neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Order (#64) will, therefore, be sustained
23 and Plaintiff's Motion for the Record of Formal Objections' to Court's (6-4-10) Order (#64) Denying
24 Plaintiff's Request for Discovery (First); Production of Documents and Request for Admissions (#65)
25 is DENIED.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: this 13th day of August, 2010.
28


ROBERT C. JONES
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE